Cortisol Responses to Mental Stress and Incident Hypertension in Healthy Men and Women
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Context: Heightened cardiovascular responses to mental stressors are associated with future risk of hypertension. The role of cortisol, a key stress hormone produced by the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, remains unclear.

Objective: Our objective was to examine the association between cortisol responses to laboratory-induced mental stress and incident hypertension.

Design and Setting: This was a prospective substudy of the Whitehall II cohort with 3 years follow-up of an occupational cohort.

Participants: Participants included 479 initially healthy men and women (mean age, 62.7 ± 5.6 yr), without history or objective signs of cardiovascular disease or hypertension at study entry.

Intervention: At the baseline assessment, salivary cortisol was measured in response to mental stressors, consisting of a 5-min Stroop task and a 5-min mirror tracing task.

Main Outcome Measures: Blood pressure was measured at study entry and at 3 yr follow-up for the determination of hypertension.

Results: There was considerable variation in the cortisol stress response, with approximately 40% of the sample responding to the stress tasks with an increase in cortisol of at least 1 mmol/liter. Over the 3 yr follow-up, 15.9% of the sample developed hypertension. There was an association between cortisol stress reactivity (per SD) and incident hypertension (odds ratio = 1.59; 95% confidence interval = 1.17–2.17) after adjustments for age, sex, resting cortisol, blood pressure at study entry, employment grade, smoking, body mass index, glycated hemoglobin, use of statins, and blood lipids.

Conclusion: These data support the notion that cortisol reactivity, an index of hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal function, is one of the possible mechanisms through which psychosocial stress may influence the risk of hypertension. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 97: E29–E34, 2012)

The accumulating evidence that stress-related factors contribute to the development of cardiovascular disease (CVD) has stimulated research into the underlying pathways involved (1). Psychophysiological stress testing can be used to better understand the mechanisms underlying the association between mental stress and CVD (2). Existing work has shown associations between heightened cardiovascular reactivity to stress and risk of incident hypertension and other risk factors such as markers of subclinical atherosclerosis (3). The importance of stress reactivity in other biological pathways relevant to CVD risk has gained less attention.

Abnormalities in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) function have been described in several chronic inflammatory disorders and may be a possible mechanism through which psychosocial stress influences the risk of hypertension.

Abbreviations: BMI, Body mass index; CI, confidence interval; CVD, cardiovascular disease; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; HPA, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal; OR, odds ratio.
Psychophysiological testing at baseline (4, 5). Indeed, experimental infusion of cortisol in normotensive men has been shown to result in an increase in blood pressure (6, 7). Nevertheless, epidemiological evidence for an association between cortisol and hypertension is less clear (8–13). The epidemiological studies to date have relied on single cortisol samples usually taken in the morning. Given that cortisol has a strong diurnal pattern, this might explain the equivocal nature of this area, and single measures of cortisol might not be appropriate to capture the dynamic nature of HPA activity. In this regard, psychophysiological testing is advantageous because extrinsic factors can be tightly controlled. Participants at risk of hypertension have demonstrated heightened HPA activity in response to acute stressors (14, 15).

Also, blunted cortisol response to awakening and lower negative feedback sensitivity has been shown in participants with hypertension (16). However, because these studies have been cross-sectional, it is difficult to interpret whether HPA dysfunction is the cause or consequence of hypertension. The aim of this study was therefore to examine the association between cortisol responses to laboratory-induced mental stress and incident hypertension in initially normotensive men and women.

Subjects and Methods

Participants

A sample of participants was drawn from the Whitehall II epidemiological cohort (17) for psychophysiological testing during 2006–2008 (baseline) and were followed up 3 yr later for further clinical assessments. The criteria for entry into the study included no history or objective signs of CVD and no previous diagnosis or treatment for hypertension, inflammatory diseases, or allergies. This information was confirmed by a telephone interview and verified from clinical data collected from the previous seven phases of the main Whitehall II study. Volunteers were of white European origin, aged 53–76 yr, and 56.5% were in full-time employment. Selection was stratified by grade of employment (current or most recent) to include higher and lower socioeconomic status participants. Participants were prohibited from using any antihistamine or antiinflammatory medication 7 d before testing and were rescheduled if they reported illness and/or infections on the day of testing. Participants gave full informed consent to participate in the study, and ethical approval was obtained from the UCLH committee on the Ethics of Human Research.

Psychophysiological testing at baseline

Testing was performed in either the morning or afternoon in a light- and temperature-controlled laboratory, as previously described (18). Participants were instructed to refrain from drinking caffeinated beverages or smoking for at least 2 h before the study and not to have performed vigorous physical activity or consumed alcohol the previous evening. After a 30-min rest period, baseline blood pressure was taken twice (using an automated UA-779 digital monitor) together with a resting saliva sample. Two behavioral tasks, designed to induce mental stress, were then administered in a random order. The tasks were a computerized version of the Stroop task and mirror tracing, both of which have been used extensively in psychophysiological research (19). The tasks each lasted for 5 min. Cardiovascular measurements were continuously assessed during tasks and will be presented elsewhere. Participants then rested for 75 min. Saliva samples were collected immediately after the tasks and then at 20, 45, and 75 min after stress for the assessment of salivary cortisol. The samples were collected using Salivettes (Sarsted, Leicester, UK), which were stored at −30 C until analysis. Levels of cortisol were assessed using a time-resolved immunoassay with fluorescence detection at the University of Dresden. The intra- and interassay coefficients of variation were less than 8%. Peak responses in cortisol tended to occur immediately after the mental stress; thus, for the purposes of the present study, samples at resting baseline and immediately after the stressor period were used to calculate a stress response change score ([Cortpoststress − Cortbaseline]/H11002)

Covariates

At study entry, participants reported current smoking habit (categorized as smoker or nonsmoker). Height and weight were recorded in light clothing for the calculation of body mass index (BMI). Fasting blood samples were taken for the analysis of total and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides, which was measured within 72 h in serum stored at 4C using enzymatic colorimetric methods. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol was derived using the Friedewald equation. Glucose homeostasis was assessed from glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) concentration (21), assayed using boronate affinity chromatography, a combination of boronate affinity and liquid chromatography.

Clinical assessment at follow-up

Participants returned for a standard clinic assessment (average follow-up period = 2.98 yr, ranging from 1.75–3.55 yr) that included a measure of blood pressure. After a 30-min rest period, blood pressure was taken three times (using an automated UA-779 digital monitor), and the mean value from the second and third reading was used. Hypertension was defined using standard criteria based on systolic and diastolic blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg and above (22).

Statistical analysis

We used χ² tests and one-way ANOVA to examine differences in baseline characteristics across the normotensive and hypertensive groups. We used multivariate logistic regression to compute odds ratios (OR) with accompanying 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the association between cortisol stress response (per SD) and risk of incident hypertension. Models were adjusted for age, sex, resting cortisol, systolic and diastolic blood pressure at study entry, employment grade, smoking, BMI, HbA1c, use of statins, and blood lipids. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 15.

Results

At baseline, 509 participants had complete data on cortisol and all covariates. Thirty participants did not com-
Slightly older than those that remained in the study Participants that did not complete follow-up were 1 mmol/liter (18). In analysis adjusted for age, the cortisol response (perSD increase) was associated with incident hypertension (OR 1.50; 95% CI 1.05–2.13; Wald = 4.60; P = 0.039), and this can be seen in Fig. 1, which displays the cortisol response profile in relation to incident hypertensive status.

**Sensitivity analyses**

Although participants entering this study had no previous diagnosis or treatment for hypertension, we excluded 27 participants identified as hypertensive from their baseline blood pressure readings. This did not, however, change the association between cortisol reactivity and risk of hypertension (fully adjusted OR per SD increase: 1.58; 95% CI = 1.13–2.12; Wald = 7.10; P = 0.01). In further analysis, we used linear regression to model blood pressure as a continuous variable, and cortisol reactivity remained largely unchanged (OR = 1.59; 95% CI = 1.17–2.17; Wald = 6.6; P = 0.003) after additional adjustments for sex, follow-up time, resting cortisol, blood pressure at study entry, employment grade, smoking, BMI, HbA1c, use of statins, and blood lipids (Table 2).

## Table 1. Characteristics of the study population at baseline in relation to development of hypertension at follow-up (n = 479)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Baseline characteristic</th>
<th>Normotensive at follow-up (n = 403)</th>
<th>Hypertensive at follow-up (n = 76)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>62.8 ± 5.6 (54.0–76.0)</td>
<td>62.7 ± 5.6 (53.0–76.0)</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men [n (%)]</td>
<td>211 (52.4)</td>
<td>46 (60.5)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highest work grade [n (%)]</td>
<td>163 (40.4)</td>
<td>27 (35.5)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current smokers [n (%)]</td>
<td>22 (5.5)</td>
<td>4 (5.3)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting systolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>120.6 ± 15.0 (65.5–185.5)</td>
<td>137.9 ± 15.0 (99.0–189.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting diastolic BP (mm Hg)</td>
<td>74.9 ± 8.7 (47.0–105.5)</td>
<td>86.8 ± 7.9 (73.0–109.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL cholesterol (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.47 (0.80–3.50)</td>
<td>1.67 ± 0.47 (0.90–3.40)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL cholesterol (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>3.89 ± 0.96 (1.34–7.22)</td>
<td>3.97 ± 0.86 (2.08–6.14)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>25.7 ± 4.1 (15.0–43.9)</td>
<td>26.7 ± 3.3 (20.9–36.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.47 ± 0.38 (4.30–7.90)</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.41 (4.20–6.50)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting cortisol (mmol/liter)</td>
<td>6.61 ± 4.60 (0.44–39.55)</td>
<td>6.14 ± 3.65 (1.04–24.25)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins use [n (%)]</td>
<td>32 (7.9)</td>
<td>11 (14.5)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow–up (d)</td>
<td>1087 ± 81 (639–1294)</td>
<td>1099 ± 64 (920–1224)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For continuous data, the values are means ± SD, and the range is shown in parentheses. BP, Blood pressure; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

There was considerable variation in the cortisol stress response, with approximately 40% of the sample responding to the stress tasks with an increase in cortisol of at least 1 mmol/liter (18). In analysis adjusted for age, the cortisol stress response (per SD increase) was associated with incident hypertension (OR = 1.62; 95% CI = 1.26–2.07; Wald = 14.57; P = 0.001). In additional models, the association remained largely unchanged (OR = 1.59; 95% CI = 1.17–2.17; Wald = 8.66; P = 0.003) after additional adjustments for sex, follow-up time, resting cortisol, blood pressure at study entry, employment grade, smoking, BMI, HbA1c, use of statins, and blood lipids. (Table 2).

## Table 2. Association between cortisol stress response (per SD increase) and incident hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model 1 odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 2 odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 3 odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole sample (n = 479, 76 cases)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.26–2.07)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.17–2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 257, 46 cases)</td>
<td>1.56 (1.15–2.10)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.97–2.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 222, 30 cases)</td>
<td>1.73 (1.13–2.66)</td>
<td>1.94 (1.16–3.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &lt; 25 kg/m² (n = 215, 27 cases)</td>
<td>1.67 (1.12–2.48)</td>
<td>1.82 (1.13–2.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² (n = 264, 49 cases)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.17–2.25)</td>
<td>1.43 (0.96–2.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model 1 is adjusted for age. Model 2 is adjusted for age, systolic and diastolic blood pressure at study entry, and resting cortisol. Model 3 is adjusted for age, sex, systolic and diastolic blood pressure at study entry, resting cortisol, employment grade, smoking, BMI, HbA1c, use of statins, and blood lipids.
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Discussion

Previous data have shown associations between height-
ened cardiovascular reactivity and future risk of hyper-
tension and CVD. The aim of this study was to investigate
the association between cortisol stress reactivity and in-
cident hypertension in initially normotensive men and
women. We observed an association between cortisol re-
activity and incident hypertension, with a 59% increase in
the odds of hypertension per SD change in cortisol respons-
sivity. These associations were largely independent of con-
ventional risk factors, including blood pressure at study
entry. Indeed, it appeared that the moderate stressor used
in the present study was sensitive enough to induce HPA
axis reactivity in hypertensive-prone participants but not
in normotensives.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to show a
prospective association between cortisol stress reactivity
and incident hypertension. The link between mental stress,
cortisol reactivity, and hypertension risk is plausible (23)
because cortisol can directly influence the central nervous
system, affecting areas of the brain that are involved in the
control of blood pressure (hypothalamus, limbic system,
etc.). In addition to the brain, glucocorticoid receptors are
present in the heart and in the vascular smooth muscle of
the resistance vessels as well as in the kidney and therefore
directly affect blood pressure (23). A previous study in
healthy participants demonstrated that mental stress-in-
duced endothelial dysfunction and baroreflex impairment
was prevented by blocking cortisol production with me-
tyrapone (24). In several other studies, participants at risk
of hypertension have demonstrated heightened HPA ac-
tivity in response to acute stressors (14, 15). Also, blunted
cortisol response to awakening and lower negative feed-
back sensitivity has been shown in participants with hy-
pertension (16). However, because these studies have been
cross-sectional, it is difficult to interpret whether HPA
dysfunction is the cause or consequence of hypertension.
Epidemiological evidence for an association between cor-
tisol and hypertension has been inconsistent (8–13), but
this might be largely explained by inadequate assessment
of HPA activity, because most previous studies have relied
on single samples of cortisol that can be influenced by a
strong diurnal variation. In addition, most of the previous
studies have been cross-sectional, which makes interpre-
tation difficult. The present study therefore adds to the

FIG. 1. The cortisol stress response profile in relation to hypertensive
status at follow-up. Solid line represents participants developing
hypertension. Data are mean ± SEM adjusted for age.
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sured on a single occasion, and there may be adaptation on repeated testing, although we have previously demonstrated strong reproducibility of these responses over two repeated stress sessions (29). Participants that dropped out of the study had higher blood pressure at study entry and thus would have been more likely to develop hypertension. Our results are therefore reflective of the healthiest participants from this sample and might not be representative of the general older population. We cannot rule out the role of unmeasured confounding risk factors or genetic influences that might account for cortisol and hypertension risk. For example, higher cortisol concentrations have been observed in young normotensive participants with a family history of hypertension (30). Nevertheless, given that the present sample comprised older adults, one might speculate that individuals with a genetic propensity to hypertension would have developed the disease earlier in life and therefore would have been excluded from entry into this study. Although hypertensive status cannot be confirmed from clinic blood pressure readings taken on one occasion, the subjects in the present sample have undergone regular clinical assessments over the last 25 yr as part of the Whitehall II study. Therefore, issues such as the white-coat effect are unlikely to have biased our results.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a prospective association between cortisol responses to laboratory-induced mental stress and incident hypertension. These findings provide support for the hypothesis that hyperreactivity of the HPA axis is one of the mechanisms through which psychosocial stress may influence the risk of hypertension and CVD.
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